Office of the President October 11, 1995

TO MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICY:

ITEM FOR INFORMATION

For Meeting of October 19, 1995

REPORT ON NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL STUDY

On September 12, 1995, the National Research Council issued its report on a comprehensive study designed to examine the quality of Ph.D. programs in the United States. To this end, data were collected on doctoral programs in 41 fields of study in 5 broad disciplinary areas at 274 institutions. Numerous indicators of program quality were developed from information such as faculty publications, research grants, awards and honors, and reputational ratings.

A key indicator of the quality of a doctoral program is the quality of the program's faculty. A measure of faculty quality developed in the study was based on a survey of about 8,000 faculty members. Survey respondents were asked to rate faculty quality of individual doctoral programs on a scale of 1 to 5. These ratings were combined to construct an average reputational rating for each program. For each field, the resulting average ratings were used to rank the programs with respect to faculty quality.

- Table 1 lists the University of California <u>programs</u> that were ranked in the top 20 in their field. More than half of the University's 229 programs included in the study rank in the top 20 in the nation.
- Table 2 provides a summary by campus of the number of <u>programs</u> ranked in the top 10, the top 20, and the top one-quarter of their field. It is worth noting that the University of California's campuses had 20% of the nation's top 5 programs, 19% of the top 10 programs, and 15% of the top 20 programs.

The NRC study does not provide a ranking of institutions in terms of faculty quality. However, the study's data can be combined to obtain a measure of its overall faculty quality. This can be done in several ways.

- Table 3 shows the ranking of <u>universities</u> according to the number of programs each
 has that rank in the top 10 in their field, with three University of California campuses
 (Berkeley, San Diego, and Los Angeles) ranking among the top 20 universities.
- Table 4 shows the ranking of <u>universities</u> according to the number of their programs in the top 20. Here again, three of the University's campuses rank highly, though in a different order (Berkeley, Los Angeles, and San Diego).

Another overall measure of faculty quality for each institution can be obtained by averaging the ratings of its individual programs.

- Table 5 shows the ranking of the top 20 comprehensive universities if individual ratings are averaged. By this measure, the University of California again has three comprehensive campuses in the top 20.
- Table 6 provides rankings of the top <u>comprehensive public universities</u>, with Berkeley, San Diego, and Los Angeles ranking first, second, and third, and

Irvine and Davis ranking eleventh and sixteenth.

It is also possible to rank institutions in terms of faculty quality in each of the five disciplinary areas based on the average faculty quality rating of each institution's programs in that area.

 Table 7 shows each campus's rank in each <u>disciplinary area</u>, based on average rating of faculty quality.

Mention must be made of the extraordinary achievement of the Berkeley campus. With 36 of its 37 programs ranked in the top 10 in their field, it ranked first in the nation in terms of the number of programs in the top 10. Special note should also be taken of the excellence of San Francisco's programs, which make that campus first in the nation in the biological sciences, of the movement of San Diego in ten years into the highest national ranks, and of the continued excellence of UCLA. It is important to highlight, however, that the study shows the extraordinary quality of the University of California's doctoral programs, not just at the mature campuses, but across the UC system. Virtually every campus has several programs in the top 10 in the nation.

(Attachments)

Table 1
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PROGRAMS RANKED IN THE TOP 20 IN FACULTY QUALITY*

(Total: 119 UC Programs In Top 20, Out Of 229 UC Programs Rated)

Rank 1 - 5		Rank 6-10		Rank 11-15			Rank 16-20				
BIOCHEM & MOLE BIOL	1	SF	ASTROPHYS & ASTRON	6	sc	ECONOMICS	11	LA	ASTROPHYS & ASTRON	16	
CHEMISTRY	1	8	GENETICS		SD	(ARESURGIES)		200	CIVE ENG	16	1
ENGLISH LANG & LIT	1		GEOGRAPHY			ENGLISH LANG & LIF	12	EA:	COMPARATIVE LIT	16	
GERMAN LANG & LIT	1		GEOSCIENCES	6	SD	GEOSCIENCES	12	LA	ECONOMICS	16	3
MATHEMATICS	1		HISTORY	6	LA	MATHEMATICS	12	LA	PHYSICS	16	
NEUROSCIENCES	1	SD	LINGUISTICS		8	STATISTICS	12	LA	SPANIPORT LANG & LIT	16	
OCEANOGRAPHY	1	SD	PHILOSOPHY	6	LA		83	3320	37/40/03/38/10/20/20/20/	200	
STATISTICS	1	8	8545587879724		187088	ART HISTORY	13	LA	CELL & DEVEL BIOL	17	
			BIOMEDICAL ENG	7	SF	CELL & DEVEL BIOL	13	В	MATHEMATICS	17	
BIOMEDICAL ENG	2	SD	CELL & DEVEL BIOL	7	SD			-			
CIVIL ENG	2		ECONOMICS	7	8	BIOCHEM & MOLE BIOL	14	LA	CHEMISTRY	18	
CLASSICS	2	8	FRENCH LANG & LIT	7		CHEMICAL ENG	14	58	COMPARATIVE LIT	18	
GENETICS	2	SF	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		2720	COMPUTER SCIENCES	14	LA	ECOLOGY, EVOL & BEH	18	
HISTORY	2	В	BIOMEDICAL ENG			GERMAN LANG & LIT	14	1	ECOLOGY EVOL & BEH	16	
INDUSTRIAL ENG	2		COMPARATIVE LIT		1	LINGUISTICS	14	SD	FRENCH LANG & LIT	18	
PHYSIOLOGY	2	SD	ECOLOGY, EVOL & BEH			MECHANICAL ENG	14	LA	Music	18	
POLI SCI	2	В	GEOGRAPHY	8	LA	SPAN/PORT LANG & UT	14	D	SPAN/PORT LANG & LIT	18	
			MATERIALS SCIENCE		58	The Administration Control of the		-000			
ANTHROPOLOGY	3	8	POLISCI		LA	ANTHROPOLOGY	15	D	ELECTRICAL ENG	19	
ART HISTORY	3	0				ENGLISH LANG & LIT	15	1			
ASTROPHYS & ASTRON	3	8	ANTHROPOLOGY		LA	NEUROSCIENCES	15	LA	ANTHROPOLOGY	20	
CELL & DEVEL BIOL	3	SF	ANTHROPOLOGY		SD	PHILOSOPHY	15	SD	ECOLOGY EVOL & BEH	20	
CHEMICAL ENG	3	В	BIOCHEM & MOLE BIOL		SD	PHYSICS	15	LA	ELECTRICAL ENG	20	
COMPUTER SCIENCES	3		CLASSICS		LA	SPANIPORT LANG & LIT	15		GEOSCIENCES	20	
GEOSCIENCES	3	В	NEUROSCIENCES		В				SPANIPORT LANG & LIT	20	
LINGUISTICS	3	LA	POLISCI		SD						
MECHANICAL ENG	3	8	PSYCHOLOGY		8						
MUSIC	3	8	RELIGION		SB						
PHARMACOLOGY	3	SD	SPANPORT LANG & LIT		В						
PHYSICS	3	8									
SOCIOLOGY	3	8	AEROSPACE ENG	10	SD						
STATISTICS (BIOSTATISTICS)	3		AEROSPACE ENG	10	LA						
			CHEMISTRY	10	LA						
BIOCHEM & MOLE BIOL		8	COMPARATIVE LIT	10	В						
ELECTRICAL ENG	4		ELECTRICAL ENG	10	LA						
GEOGRAPHY	4	58	FRENCH LANG & LIT	10	1						
MATERIALS SCIENCE		В	GENETICS	10	В				1		
NEUROSCIENCES	4	SF	LINGUISTICS	10	SC						
PHILOSOPHY	4		MECHANICAL ENG	10	SD						
PHYSIOLOGY	4	LA	PHYSICS	10	58						
PSYCHOLOGY	4	LA	PSYCHOLOGY	10	SD						
ECOLOGY, EVOL & BEH	5	D									
PHYSIOLOGY	5	SF									
SOCIOLOGY	5	LA									
											_
Number of Programs	41		L	37			'20			21	

Based on results from National Research Council study, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1995.

FACULTY QUALITY OF UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CAMPUSES BY THREE MEASURES*

Campus	Total Number of Programs Rated	Number of Programs in Top 10	Number of Programs in Top 20	Number of Programs in Top One-Quarter
Berkeley	37	36	37	37
Davis	26	1	4	7
Irvine	24	2	5	9
Los Angeles	36	13	31	24
Riverside	19			1
San Diego	29	14	24	23
San Francisco	9	6	6	7
Santa Barbara	32	4	10	10
Santa Cruz	17	2	2	3
TOTAL	229	78	119	121

^{*}Based on results from National Research Council study, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1995.

^{**}The number of programs in the top one-quarter varies from field to field because the total number of rated programs varies significantly by field. For example, 42 programs were in the top one-quarter of chemistry because a total of 168 chemistry programs were rated, whereas only 10 programs were in the top one-quarter of linguistics because only 41 linguistics programs were rated.

Table 3

TOP 20 UNIVERSITIES

AND UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CAMPUSES
IN FACULTY QUALITY
BY NUMBER OF PROGRAMS IN TOP 10*

Rank	University	Number of Programs in Top 10	Total Programs	Percent in Top 10
1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-BERKELEY	36	37	97%
2	Stanford University	32	43	74%
3	Harvard University	26	30	87%
4	Princeton University	22	29	76%
5	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	20	23	87%
6	Yale University	19	30	63%
6	Cornell University	19	37	51%
8	University of Chicago	18	30	60%
9	University of Pennsylvania	15	36	42%
10	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO	14	29	48%
10	Columbia University	14	34	41%
10	University of Wisconsin-Madison	14	39	36%
10	University of Michigan	14	41	34%
14	California Institute of Technology	13	19	68%
14	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES	13	36	36%
16	University of Washington	11	39	28%
17	U of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	10	37	27%
18	Johns Hopkins University	9	34	26%
19	Duke University	8	33	24%
20	University of Texas at Austin	7	37	19%
21	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN FRANCISCO	6	9	67%
26	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA BARBARA	4	32	13%
29	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-IRVINE	2	24	8%
29	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA CRUZ	2	17	12%
41	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-DAVIS	1	26	4%
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-RIVERSIDE	0	19	0%

^{*}Based on results from National Research Council study, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1995.

TOP 20 UNIVERSITIES
AND UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CAMPUSES
IN FACULTY QUALITY

Table 4

BY NUMBER OF PROGRAMS IN TOP 20*

Rank	University	Number	Total Programs	Percent in Top 20
1	Stanford University	42	43	989
2	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-BERKELEY	37	37	1009
3	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES	31	36	869
4	University of Michigan	30	41	739
5	Harvard University	28	30	939
5	Princeton University	28	29	979
5	University of Wisconsin-Madison	28	39	729
8	Cornell University	27	37	739
9	Columbia University	26	34	769
9	Yale University	26	30	879
11	University of Chicago	25	30	839
11	University of Pennsylvania	25	36	699
13	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO	24	29	839
13	University of Texas at Austin	24	37	659
15	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	23	23	1009
15	University of Washington	23	39	599
17	U of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	19	37	519
18	California Institute Technology	18	19	959
18	Duke University	18	33	559
20	U of North Carolina-Chapel Hill	16	34	479
27	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA BARBARA	10	32	319
37	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN FRANCISCO	6	9	679
40	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-IRVINE	5	24	219
45	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-DAVIS	4	26	159
59	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA CRUZ	2	17	129
	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-RIVERSIDE	0	19	09

^{*}Based on results from National Research Council study, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1995.

Table 5
TOP 20 COMPREHENSIVE UNIVERSITIES

AND UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CAMPUSES IN FACULTY QUALITY BY AVERAGE RATING OF ALL FIELDS*

Rank	University	Average Rating	Number of Programs
	11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1		
1	Massachusetts Inst. of Technology	4.60	23
2	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-BERKELEY	4.49	37
3	Harvard University	4.40	30
4	California Inst. of Technology	4.29	19
4	Princeton University	4.29	29
6	Stanford University	4.21	43
7	University of Chicago	4.13	30
8	Yale University	4.08	30
9	Cornell University	3.95	37
10	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO	3.93	29
11	Columbia University	3.92	34
12	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES	3.85	36
12	University of Michigan	3.85	41
14	University of Pennsylvania	3.79	36
15	University of Wisconsin-Madison	3.70	39
16	University of Texas at Austin	3.63	37
17	University of Washington	3.60	39
18	Northwestern University	3.58	30
19	Carnegie Mellon University	3.56	15
19	Duke University	3.56	33
19	Johns Hopkins University	3.56	34
19	U of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	3.56	37
27	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-IRVINE	3.35	24
35	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-DAVIS	3.18	26
41	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA BARBA	3.08	32
61	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-RIVERSIDE	2.72	19
62	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA CRUZ	2.71	17

^{*}Based on results from National Research Council study, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1995.

NOTE: Comprehensive universities are defined as having 15 or more programs rated. UC San Francisco has only 9 programs in the study but an average faculty quality rating comparable to the rating of the top 10 comprehensive universities.

Table 6

TOP 20 COMPREHENSIVE PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES AND UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA CAMPUSES IN FACULTY QUALITY
BY AVERAGE RATING OF ALL FIELDS*

Rank	University	Average Rating	Number of Programs
1	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-BERKELEY	4.49	37
2	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SAN DIEGO	3.93	29
3	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES	3.85	36
3	University of Michigan	3.85	41
5	University of Wisconsin-Madison	3.70	39
6	University of Texas at Austin	3.63	37
7	University of Washington	3,60	39
8	U of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign	3.56	37
9	University of Minnesota	3.45	39
10	U of North Carolina-Chapel Hill	3.44	34
11	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-IRVINE	3.35	24
12	University of Virginia	3.34	32
13	Purdue University	3.31	25
14	University of Arizona	3.25	29
15	Rutgers State UnivNew Brunswick	3.23	33
16	Pennsylvania State University	3.18	39
16	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-DAVIS	3.18	26
18	Ohio State University	3.16	39
19	Indiana University	3.15	28
20	State Univ. of New York-Stony Brook	3.13	30
21	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA BARBARA	3.08	32
38	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-RIVERSIDE	2.72	19
39	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-SANTA CRUZ	2.71	17

^{*}Based on results from National Research Council study, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1995.

NOTE: Comprehensive universities are defined as having 15 or more programs rated. UC San Francisco has only 9 programs in the study but an average faculty quality rating comparable to the rating of the top 2 comprehensive public universities.

Table 7

FACULTY QUALITY OF UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA PROGRAMS:

RANKINGS OF AVERAGE RATINGS BY DISCIPLINE

FOR THOSE UNIVERSITIES WITH 3 OR MORE PROGRAMS RATED IN EACH DISCIPLINE*

	Arts & Humanities	Biological Sciences	Engineering	Physical Sciences & Mathematics	Social & Behavioral Sciences
BERKELEY	1	7	2	1	3
DAVIS	41	27	24	54	37
IRVINE	13	44	38	40	26
LOS ANGELES	11	19	19	11	7
RIVERSIDE	42	80	••	68	62
SAN DIEGO	20	4	9	9	12
SAN FRANCISCO	••	1	***	***	***
SANTA BARBARA	30	110	16	34	32
SANTA CRUZ	•••	126	•	42	
Number of Universities Ranked	71	162	98	134	111
Number of Fields	11	7	8	8	7

^{*}Based on results from National Research Council Study, Research-Doctorate Programs in the United States: Continuity and Change, 1995.

[&]quot;No programs were rated in this discipline.

^{***}Fewer than 3 programs were rated in this discipline.